## TOWN OF OLD ORCHARD BEACH ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS MEETING MINUTES May 2, 2011

Call to Order at 7:05 pm	Call to Order
Pledge to the Flag	
Roll Call: Present: Philip Weyenberg, Chairman DeLeo, Tianna Higgins, and Ron Regis.	
Owen Stoddard and J. Philip Denison were excused.	
<b>Staff:</b> Alan Borg, Assistant Code Enforcement Officer. Tori Geaumont, Secretary.	
ITEM 1: Miscellaneous Appeal: Lori Johnson, owner of 22 Odessa Avenue, #5, MBL	Item 1:
315-6-7-5 in the R3 Zone to permit the adjustment rear yard setback. The owner is the	Miscellaneous
appellant.	Appeal: Lori Johnson, 22
	Odessa Ave #5,
	MBL 315-6-7-5
<b>Dave Cantara</b> , the contractor, represented the owner. He explained the applicants desire	
to construct a room on an already existing 8'x12' slab that is located behind the	
condominium. Several of the other units have come to the Zoning Board and were granted	
approval for this.	
Ms. Higgins asked what the slab was originally for.	
Mr. Cantara stated a patio.	
Chairman DeLeo called for any abutters or correspondence.	
PUBLIC HEARING WAS CLOSED AT 7:09 pm	
Chairman DeLeo read the criteria for number one.	
With regards to part A. The existing buildings or structures on the lot for which	
the limited reduction of yard size/limited expansion of lot coverage is requested	
were erected prior to the date of adoption of this provision or the lot is a vacant	
nonconforming lot of record the appellant stated the building was constructed in	
1986.	
Mr. Weyenberg agreed.	
Ms. Higgins agreed.	
Mr. Regis agreed.	
Chairman DeLeo agreed.	
With regards to part B. The requested reduction is reasonably necessary to permit	
the owner or occupant of the property to use and enjoy the property in essentially	
the same manner as other similar properties are utilized in the zoning district the	
appellant stated in this condominium project there have been two similar additions	
which were allowed by the ZBA.	
Mr. Weyenberg agreed.	
Ms. Higgins agreed.	
Mr. Regis agreed.	
Chairman DeLeo agreed.	
With regards to part C. Due to the physical features of the lot and/or the location	
of existing structures on the lot, it would not be practical to construct the proposed	
expansion, enlargement or new structures in conformance with the currently	
applicable yard size or lot coverage requirements the appellant stated there is	
physically no other possible way to increase the living space of the unit as is.	
Mr. Weyenberg agreed.	
Ms. Higgins agreed.	
Mr. Regis agreed.	
Chairman DeLeo agreed.	
With regards to part D. The hardship is not the result of action taken by the	
appellant or a prior owner the appellant stated ZBA has allowed this type of	
addition to be built on this property.	

ZBA Minutes 05-2-11 Page 1 of 4

### TOWN OF OLD ORCHARD BEACH ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS MEETING MINUTES May 2, 2011

ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS MEETING MINUTES May 2, 2	011
Mr. Weyenberg agreed.	
Ms. Higgins agreed.	
Mr. Regis agreed.	
Chairman DeLeo agreed.	M-41
<b>Ms. Higgins</b> moved permit the adjustment rear yard setback to allow for an 8' x 12'	<u>Motion</u>
addition.	
Mr. Weyenberg seconded.	
Motion passes unanimously.	<u>Vote</u>
ITEM 2: Miscellaneous Appeal: Arthur Shepard, owner of 6 Graham Street, MBL 302-2-	<u>Item 2:</u>
8 in the BRD Zone to permit the adjustment of the front yard setback to allow for the	Miscellaneous
reconstruction and expansion of the existing staircase. The owner is the appellant.	Appeal: Arthur
	Shepard, 6 Graham Street,
	MBL 302-2-8
<b>Arthur Shepard</b> owner of 6 Graham Street explained the need for the miscealleous appeal	
was due to demolishing and then rebuilding the home and wanting to configure the stairs in	
a more safe and astetically pleasing way. As they are now they come out into the street. He	
then presented the board with pictures showing the proposal.	
Chairman DeLeo called for any abutters or correspondence.	
PUBLIC HEARING WAS CLOSED AT 7:15 pm	
Chairman DeLeo read the criteria for number one.	
Ms. Higgins asked if it was larger.	
Mr. Shepard stated no.	
Mr. Weyenberg asked if changing the direction of the stairs was due to safety.	
Mr. Shepard stated yes.	
With regards to part A. The existing buildings or structures on the lot for which	
the limited reduction of yard size/limited expansion of lot coverage is requested	
were erected prior to the date of adoption of this provision or the lot is a vacant	
nonconforming lot of record the appellant stated the new structure is located in the	
footprint of the old structure which was approximately 85 years old.	
Mr. Weyenberg agreed.	
Ms. Higgins agreed.	
Mr. Regis agreed.	
Chairman DeLeo agreed.	
With regards to part B. The requested reduction is reasonably necessary to permit	
the owner or occupant of the property to use and enjoy the property in essentially	
the same manner as other similar properties are utilized in the zoning district the	
appellant stated the front porch is 2-3 feet from front property line. The exit from	
the porch is approximately 6 feet from the property line. The exit and exterior	
staircase must be located less than the 15 foot minimum setback.	
Mr. Weyenberg agreed.	
Ms. Higgins agreed.	
Mr. Regis agreed.	
Chairman DeLeo agreed.  With regards to part C. Due to the physical features of the lot and/or the location.	
With regards to part C. Due to the physical features of the lot and/or the location of existing structures on the lot, it would not be practical to construct the proposed	
of existing structures on the lot, it would not be practical to construct the proposed	
expansion, enlargement or new structures in conformance with the currently	
applicable yard size or lot coverage requirements the appellant stated with the new	
structure in the footprint of the previous structures there is no porch exit that can	
meet the minimum setbacks.	
Mr. Weyenberg agreed.	
Ms. Higgins agreed.	

ZBA Minutes 02-28-11 Page 2 of 4

Mr. Regis agreed.

#### TOWN OF OLD ORCHARD BEACH ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS MEETING MINUTES May 2, 2011

Chairman DeLeo agreed.

With regards to part D. The hardship is not the result of action taken by the appellant or a prior owner the appellant stated the new stairway will be less intrusive than the old stairway since the steps lead away from the front property line

Mr. Weyenberg agreed.

Ms. Higgins agreed.

Mr. Regis agreed.

Chairman DeLeo agreed.

**Ms. Higgins** moved permit the adjustment rear yard setback to allow for an 8' x 12' addition.

Mr. Weyenberg seconded.

Motion passes unanimously.

Chairman DeLeo read the criteria for number one.

With regards to part A. The existing buildings or structures on the lot for which the limited reduction of yard size/limited expansion of lot coverage is requested were erected prior to the date of adoption of this provision or the lot is a vacant nonconforming lot of record the appellant stated the house was built in the 1920's.

Mr. Weyenberg agreed.

Ms. Higgins agreed.

Mr. Regis agreed.

Chairman DeLeo agreed.

With regards to part B. The requested reduction is reasonably necessary to permit the owner or occupant of the property to use and enjoy the property in essentially the same manner as other similar properties are utilized in the zoning district the appellant stated our current deck no longer suits the needs of our family.

Mr. Weyenberg agreed.

Ms. Higgins agreed.

Mr. Regis agreed.

Chairman DeLeo agreed.

With regards to part C. Due to the physical features of the lot and/or the location of existing structures on the lot, it would not be practical to construct the proposed expansion, enlargement or new structures in conformance with the currently applicable yard size or lot coverage requirements the appellant stated because of the design of the house and the shallow depth of the lot there is no other place to put the deck.

Mr. Weyenberg agreed.

Ms. Higgins agreed.

Mr. Regis agreed.

Chairman DeLeo agreed.

With regards to part D. The hardship is not the result of action taken by the appellant or a prior owner the appellant stated the replacement of the deck will improve the appearance of the property.

#### **GOOD & WELFARE**

Mr. Weyenberg moved to adjourn.

Mr. Stoddard seconded.

Motion passes unanimously.

Motion Vote

I, Tori Geaumont, Secretary to the Zoning Board of Appeals of the Town of Old Orchard Beach, do hereby certify that the foregoing document consisting of two(2) pages is a true copy of the original minutes of the Zoning Board of Appeals Meeting on May 2, 2011

ZBA Minutes 02-28-11 Page 3 of 4

# TOWN OF OLD ORCHARD BEACH ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS MEETING MINUTES May 2, 2011 Jou Leaumont

ZBA Minutes 02-28-11 Page 4 of 4